

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI**

2.

REVIEW APPLICATION NO.14 OF 2018  
WITH  
MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO.318 OF 2018  
IN  
O.A NO.20 OF 2017  
[RB CHENNAI]

(BY CIRCULATION)

Ex Rect Nrayanavanam Changala Rayulu .....  
Applicant  
VERSUS  
Union of India and Ors. ....  
Respondents

IN CHAMBERS

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRENDER SINGH, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE LT GEN PHILIP CAMPOSE, MEMBER (A)

ORDER  
23.01.2019

MA 318/2018

There is a delay of 155 days in filing the review application. Since we are satisfied that a sufficient cause has been shown to condone the delay, the same stands condoned.

MA No.318/2018 stands disposed of accordingly.

RA NO.14/2018 IN OA NO.20/2017

The instant review application has been placed before us through circulation in terms of the Administrative Order dated 18<sup>th</sup> January, 2019, passed by the Chairperson.

The applicant is seeking review of the order dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2017 passed in OA 20/2017 along with MA 19/2017. The main matter came to be disposed of by Armed Forces Tribunal, RB, Chennai, Circuit Bench at Hyderabad, in which one of us, Virender Singh, J. was the Bench partner and brother K. Surendera Nath, was the Member (A).

There was a delay of 16507 days in filing the OA, condonation thereof was sought through the medium of MA 19/2017. We, while entering into detailed discussion, did not find it to be a case for condoning the huge delay, therefore miscellaneous application seeking condonation of delay was dismissed.

The order under review indicates that the applicant had moved the Tribunal after a lapse of 16507 days for the relief sought in the main OA and that the respondents were not in possession of any documentary evidence in support of the case set up by the applicant who was discharged from service within two years as recruit finding disablement in both of his ears and on that score only, he had faced the rejection order dated 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 impugned by him in the main OA. It was, however, made clear in the impugned order that the applicant could approach Kendriya Sainik Board, New Delhi through concerned Zila Sainik Board to claim penury grant of Rs.1,000/- per month for lifetime,

which option the applicant had not availed till the date of filing the OA or when it was heard as is clear from the statement made by the learned counsel for the applicant at the Bar. We primarily on this ground found no substance in the case of the applicant on any count and dismissed the main OA observing that if so chooses, he may avail the aforesaid option of grant of Rs.1,000/- per month. This is how the miscellaneous application for condonation of delay of 16507 days in the filing the OA and the main OA came to be dismissed.

We have now gone through the grounds carved out in the instant review application and are of the considered view that there is no illegality or for that matter even any irregularity apparent on the record crept in the judgment so as to review our order dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2017. The instant review application thus merits dismissal in circulation only as we do not find even the necessity of putting both the sides to notice to have further assistance in the matter.

Ordered accordingly.

**( VIRENDER SINGH )**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**(PHILIP CAMPOSE)**  
**MEMBER (A)**